



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/311,952	05/18/1999	HIDEKI MURAYAMA	501.34424CX2	1937
20457 75	590 01/22/2004		EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			NGUYEN, HAI V	
SUITE 1800	SEVENTEENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			2142	19
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004	1 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 6			BRG			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/311,952	MURAYAMA ET AL				
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hai V. Nguyen	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of AppelExamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repich places the application in the contract of the contract	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten- 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterance patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe steen which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b		.,				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which we	ere newly			
▼ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 88-104.						
						Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	oroved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.	5 7)			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	\leftarrow	Harry			
10. Other:	SL	JACK B. HAR JPERVISORY PATEN	IVEY IT EXAMINER			

p



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "a disk request processing section is separate from the CPU of the computer", paper #18, page \$\frac{1}{2}\$ paragraph 2) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

